

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/521,787	ARINAGA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ninh H. Nguyen	3745

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ninh H. Nguyen. (3) _____

(2) Jeffrey A. Wyand, Reg. No. 29,458 and Izumi Oya. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: a model of a fan of the claimed invention.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP 63-36697.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The amended claims 1, 4, and 14 appears to overcome the prior art above. However, allowability of the claims depend on the outcome of an updated search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required